Amphibian malformations and inbreeding
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1. INTRODUCTION

Inbreeding, the union of consanguineous gametes, can lead to a reduction in fitness caused by the expression of recessive deleterious alleles in homozygotes (i.e. inbreeding depression). The quantification of inbreeding depression is notoriously difficult, especially in wild populations (Keller & Waller 2002). Often, inbreeding depression is associated with qualitative morphological malformations, such as cryptorchidism in Florida panthers (Mansfield & Land 2002), lordosis in fish (Afonso et al. 2000) and skeletal dwarfing in lizards (Olsson et al. 1996). This is not to say that all malformations are the result of inbreeding, but in many different taxa there are strong associations between specific malformations and an increased incidence of consanginity.

Malformations play an unknown role in worldwide amphibian declines, and there is growing trepidation about whether they may be linked to factors such as UVB radiation and parasite infection (Blaustein et al. 1997; Johnson et al. 2001). Inbreeding and other measures of genetic diversity have largely been ignored in studies of amphibian malformations, but may be important because habitat fragmentation and philopatric tendencies may increase the probability for consanguineous matings and the concomitant loss of genetic diversity. The specific goal of this study was to determine whether malformed individuals had reduced genetic diversity relative to normal individuals.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

(a) Sample collection and malformation assessment

Individual salamanders were captured from a large wetland complex (Williams 2007; Bos et al. 2008) in Tippecanoe County, Indiana, USA using minnow traps (larvae) or standard drift fence and pitfall traps (adults). Upon initial capture, each salamander was thoroughly inspected for gross malformations (e.g. missing limbs or digits, extra limbs or digits, or pronounced asymmetries) and tail or toe tissue was collected for genetic analyses.

(b) DNA extraction and amplification procedures

DNA extractions and microsatellite genotyping were performed as described in Williams (2007). To minimize microsatellite genotyping errors, 40% of all individuals were rerun at four or more loci to ensure that systematic genotyping errors had not occurred. We also sequenced a 635 bp portion of the NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2 mitochondrial gene (ND2) following Bos et al. (2008).

(c) Genetic variability

Genetic samples were partitioned into the following four separate groups: (i) normal adults, (ii) malformed adults, (iii) normal larvae, and (iv) malformed larvae. We used genetic data analysis (Lewis & Zaykin 1999) to calculate microsatellite diversity and genetic structure. For each group, inbreeding coefficients ($F$) and differences in allele frequencies ($\theta$) were calculated as in Weir & Cockerham (1984). We also calculated individual multilocus heterozygosity (IH) and internal relatedness (IR; Amos et al. 2001) for each individual. We arcsine transformed individual heterozygosity values and tested for differences in the means between the normal versus malformed adults and between the normal versus the malformed larvae using one-tailed $t$-tests. We also compared the mean IR between the two groups using one-tailed $t$-tests. We used Art-Calc (Ayres & Overall 2004) to calculate the probability of identity and the ‘identity function’ in Cavus v. 3.0 (Marshall et al. 1998) to ensure that none of our salamanders lost their physical mark and were inadvertently counted twice. Chi-squared tests were used to test for a sex bias in the frequency of malformations among adults (larvae cannot be sexed) as well as for a bias in the frequency of malformations in the front limbs versus the hind limbs in both larvae and adults.

Mitochondrial sequences were aligned using BioEdit v. 7.0.1 (Hall 1999) and sorted using GENLEX v. 6 (Peakall & Smouse 2006). ARLGENE v. 3.01 (Schneider et al. 2000) was used to estimate haplotype and nucleotide diversities; analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was used to test for differences in allele frequencies among the four groups.

3. RESULTS

(a) Sample collection and malformation assessment

We report only gross malformations representing substantial deviations from the normal body plan (figure 1). Approximately 2000 salamanders (687 adults and 1259 larvae) were captured and evaluated for malformations. Among the 687 adults, 54 (7.9%) were malformed (table 1). Of these 54 adults, 46 (85%) had missing (ectrodactyly), extra (polyphalangy) or dwarfed digits (brachydactyly). We also observed three adults with two or more digits fused together (syndactyly) and two adults with extra limbs (polymelia). There was no significant difference in the occurrences of malformations between adult males and females ($\chi^2 = 1.23, p = 0.27$). The frequency of malformations found in fore and hind limbs were similar in adults ($\chi^2 = 0.49, p = 0.48$), whereas larval had more malformations in hind limbs ($\chi^2 = 23.6, p < 0.0001$). Among the 102 malformed larvae, 94 (92%) had malformations involving ectrodactyly, polyphalangy and brachydactyly (table 1). The most
profound malformations (e.g. missing limbs, incomplete limbs and missing eyes) were only found in larvae and represented less than 8% of the larval total. In general, the most common malformations (i.e. brachydactyly, ectrodactyly and polyphalangy) were observed in both adults and larvae, with remarkably similar rates of incidence (7.8 and 8.1%, respectively; table 1).

Table 1. Type and frequency of malformations observed in Ambystoma tigrinum from 2003 to 2007. Percentage of each malformation type is given in parentheses. n, sample size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>malformation</th>
<th>adults (n=687)</th>
<th>larvae (n=1259)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brachydactyly</td>
<td>11 (1.6%)</td>
<td>17 (1.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ectrodactyly</td>
<td>19 (2.8%)</td>
<td>5 (0.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polyphalangy</td>
<td>16 (2.3%)</td>
<td>72 (5.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>syndactyly</td>
<td>3 (0.4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bifurcated tail</td>
<td>3 (0.4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polymelia</td>
<td>2 (0.3%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amelia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (0.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ectromelia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (0.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phocomelia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemimelia</td>
<td>54 (7.8%)</td>
<td>102 (8.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anophthalmia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>54 (7.8%)</td>
<td>102 (8.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Genetic variability
We generated microsatellite genotypes (n=6 loci) for 51 malformed adults, 50 normal adults, 30 malformed larvae and 33 normal larvae for a total of 164 individuals. Among the four groups, there were 2–27 alleles per locus (mean 10.4) and a mean observed heterozygosity of 0.68. Internal quality controls (i.e. approx. 40% of the samples were run twice at each locus) indicate that our genotyping error rate was less than 3%. The combined probability of identity (PiID) was 2.3×10^{-7} and no two individuals shared identical multilocus genotypes across all six loci. Thus, our physical marks were not responsible for malformations in subsequent years.

There was no evidence that malformed individuals were more inbred than normal individuals, as gauged by different lines of evidence. There was no significant difference in measures of inbreeding (f and F) or differences in allele frequencies (θ) between pairwise comparisons of normal versus malformed individuals (table 2). Similarly, mean IH and mean IR values were not significantly different between normal and malformed individuals (table 3).

Mitochondrial DNA diversity was also similar in normal and malformed individuals. A total of nine ND2 haplotypes were identified among the four groups of Ambystoma tigrinum tigrinum. Haplotype frequencies were remarkably consistent among all four groups. Likewise, overall haplotype diversity (range 0.8000–0.8841) and nucleotide diversity (range 0.0083–0.0096) were similar among the comparisons. AMOVA indicated that most haplotype variation was distributed within groups, whereas little variation was observed among the groups (table 2).

4. DISCUSSION
Our data from approximately 2000 salamanders reveal new patterns of malformations in the wild, and our genetic data effectively rule out inbreeding and/or lack of genetic diversity as causal factors. We documented, in both larval and adult tiger salamanders, an incidence of malformations (approx. 8%) nearly twice as high as...
previously reported in adult newts (Meyer-Rochow & Asahima 1988) and similar to many anuran species (Johnson et al. 2001). Despite the differences in locations of malformations (hind and forelimb malformations were equally frequent in adults whereas larvae had significantly more hind limb malformations), our results reveal few differences in the frequency of malformations among life-history stages. This is an interesting pattern, as malformations should negatively impact survival (Sessions & Ruth 1990). In our population, abnormal adults were recorded nearly as often as abnormal larvae (and with similar malformations), suggesting that malformed larvae do not suffer substantially higher mortality than normal conspecifics.

We found substantial variation in the incidence of malformations across sampling years. For larvae, we detected malformations in 75 of 646 individuals (11.6%) sampled in 2006, but in only 27 of 613 individuals (4.4%) sampled in 2007. For adults, we detected malformations in only 1 of 109 individuals sampled in 2003, 14 of 220 in 2004, 6 of 44 in 2005 and 32 of 314 in 2006. Similar variation in the malformation rate over time is also seen in newts (Taricha torosa) and many anurans (Johnson et al. 2001).

The large effective size of our population (N_e) and the very low recapture rate (Bos et al. 2008) suggest that identity by descent is not a confounding issue. In our study population, DNA sequence diversity is high at a key nuclear gene under strong selection (Bos & DeWoody 2005). The microsatellite and mtDNA data presented herein indicate that levels of neutral genetic variation are also very high, roughly twice that found in most terrestrial animals (DeWoody & Avise 2000). Furthermore, allelic diversity and heterozygosity are similar between groups of normal and malformed individuals. This is important because even under a random union of gametes model, some matings are consanguineous and produce inbred offspring. We find no evidence that such inbred individuals are more likely to be morphologically abnormal.

Recently, genetic parentage data have begun to reshape our view of ambystomatid biology. The lack of strong site fidelity (Tennessee & Zamudio 2003) coupled with highly polyandrous mating systems, where both sexes benefit from multiple mating (Gopurenko et al. 2007) produces a large N_e with little inbreeding (Bos et al. 2008). Our mtDNA data buttress the microsatellite data; there are no differences in diversity between normal and malformed individuals and thus provide no support for the idea that an individual’s genetic background is related to the incidence of malformations. We do not mean to imply that genes play no role in malformations, but our molecular data provide the first ‘scan’ for genome-wide effects. In total, they suggest that other biotic and abiotic factors (e.g. pathogens, UV radiation, regeneration following trauma) are responsible for salamander malformations.

Animals were collected under permits issued by the Indiana Department of Natural Resources and the Purdue University Animal Care and Use Committee.

We thank B. Williams and B. Reinhart for their field assistance and the DeWoody laboratory for comments on the earlier drafts of this manuscript. Financial support was provided by Purdue University and the US National Science Foundation (DEB-0514815 to J.A.D.).


Schneider, S., Roessli, D. & Excoffier, L. 2000 *ARLEQUIN*: a software for population genetics data analysis (v. 3.01). Geneva, Switzerland: University of Geneva, Department of Anthropology.


