

Microsatellite markers for *Juglans cinerea* L. and their utility in other Juglandaceae species

Amy Ross-Davis · Keith E. Woeste

Received: 29 March 2007 / Accepted: 23 April 2007
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2007

Abstract Ten polymorphic microsatellite markers were found to amplify in butternut (*Juglans cinerea*; Juglandaceae). These microsatellite loci were found to amplify across most of nine other species and five hybrids examined. Loci were highly polymorphic, with 18 to 32 alleles per locus across species. These nuclear microsatellite markers will be useful in examining genetic diversity within and among populations of butternut, and in distinguishing butternut from interspecific hybrids.

Keywords Butternut · Endangered · Hybridization · Microsatellite markers · Sensitive species

Introduction

Butternut, *Juglans cinerea* L., is a short-lived tree species being extirpated throughout most of its native Eastern North American range by butternut canker (Schultz 2003; Nielsen et al. 2003), a disease caused by the exotic fungus *Sirococcus clavigignenti-juglandacearum* (Renlund 1971). The species was listed as endangered by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada in 2003, and in the USA it is currently considered a Regional Forester Sensitive Species.

A. Ross-Davis · K. E. Woeste
Hardwood Tree Improvement and Regeneration Center,
Department of Forestry and Natural Resources, Pfendler Hall,
Purdue University, 715 West State Street, West Lafayette, IN
47907-2061, USA

K. E. Woeste (✉)
USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station, Purdue
University, 715 West State Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907-
2061, USA
e-mail: woeste@purdue.edu

Previous research based on allozyme loci reported low levels of genetic diversity across butternut populations in the northern portion of the species range (Morin et al. 2000). Here, we identify a suite of ten nuclear microsatellite markers that amplify in *J. cinerea* and examine the utility of these markers in other Juglandaceae species. Four of these markers have previously been published for *J. nigra* (Woeste et al. 2002; Victory et al. 2006) and *J. regia* (Dangl et al. 2005).

Methods

Primers for this research were derived by further sequencing of a black walnut (*Juglans nigra*) microsatellite library described by Woeste et al. (2002). Leaf samples of the following species and hybrids were obtained: *Carya illinoensis* and *Juglans microcarpa* from L.J. Grauke, USDA ARS National Germplasm Repository for Pecans and Hickories, Somerville, TX; *Juglans ailanthifolia*, *J. cathayensis*, *J. hindsii*, *J. mandschurica*, *J. regia*, and *J. x paradox* from Malli Aradhya, USDA ARS National Clonal Germplasm Repository, Davis, CA; *J. nigra* from Mark Coggeshall, University of Missouri Agroforestry Research Center; and *J. x quadrangulata*, *J. x royal*, and *J. major* from the germplasm collection of the USDA Forest Service Hardwood Tree Improvement and Regeneration Center, West Lafayette, IN. Samples of *J. cinerea* were collected by the authors with the assistance of numerous collaborators.

DNA Extraction, quantification, amplification and genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from leaves as described by Victory et al. (2006). All samples were quantified using a

ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Inc.). PCR conditions and genotyping was performed as described in Victory et al. (2006) except products were separated using an ABI 3730 sequencer. Two positive and one negative control were run with each PCR to ensure accurate scoring. Failed reactions were repeated for accuracy.

Analysis

GDA (Lewis and Zaykin 2001) software was used to determine mean sample size over all loci (n), mean number of alleles per locus (A), expected (H_E) and observed (H_O) heterozygosity, linkage disequilibrium, and fixation index (f). Linkage disequilibrium was only tested for *J. cinerea*, the only species for which there was a large sample size ($n = 422$). SAS (v. 9.1; Cary, NC) Proc Princomp and Proc Candisc were used to perform principle components analysis (PCA) and canonical discriminant analysis (CDA), respectively. For both SAS procedures, missing data resulting from non-amplification or from unscorable, multiple peaks were replaced with the grand mean for each locus. Each allele/species combination was considered an independent unit of analysis (i.e., each bi-allelic genotype

at each locus generated two data points). To make the dataset more balanced, eight *J. cinerea* genotypes were chosen arbitrarily and included in the PCA and CDA.

Results and discussion

Loci amplified across the majority of species examined (Table 1, 2) and were highly polymorphic, showing 18–32 alleles per locus across species; however, polymorphism within taxa was generally low, ranging from 1.3 for *J. x quadrangulata* to 13.0 for *J. cinerea*. This was likely due to limited sample sizes. Linkage disequilibrium was detected in 60% of the pairwise comparisons between loci. Four of the ten loci (WGA 004, WGA 204, WGA 221, and WGA 256) amplified across all species. The loci did not show a high degree of ascertainment bias (Table 2), as the allele numbers for *J. ailanthifolia* and *J. major* were as high or higher as those for *J. nigra*, the species from which the primers were originally derived. Transfer of WGA 004, 204, 221 and 256 to *C. illinoensis* is unusual and may point to either strong conservation of these loci or a relatively recent divergence of the genera (Hale et al. 2005).

Table 1 Primer sequences and label information for microsatellite loci that amplify in *Juglans cinerea*

Locus	Repeat motif	Primer sequence (5' (3') ^a	Label ^b	GenBank accession number
WGA 004*	(GT) ₅ (GA) ₁₅	F: TGT TGC ATT GAC CCA CTT GT R: TAA GCC AAC ATG GTA TGC CA	6-FAM	AY465953
WGA 033*	(GA) ₁₉ (GAGT) ₅ (GA) ₆	F: TGG TCT GCG AAG ACA CTG TC R: GCA TCG TCA TTA CCT GCT CA	6-FAM	DQ307437
WGA 082*	(CT) ₂₀	F: TGC CGA CAC TCC TCA CTT C R: CGT GAT GTA CGA CGG CTG	HEX	AY333956
WGA 090*	(CT) ₄ T(TC) ₁₄	F: CTT GTA ATC GCC CTC TGC TC R: TAC CTG CAA CCC GTT ACA CA	6-FAM	AY352441
WGA 142	(CT) ₈	F: CAT ATT CCC GGT GAT TTT GG R: TGA CCA CAA ATC GGA GAT GA	6-FAM	DQ307429
WGA 147	(GA) ₁₄ (GT) ₈	F: TGG AAC TTG TTC TGT GCG AG R: CCG AGT CCC CTT CAC ATC TA	6-FAM	DQ307430
WGA 148	(AG) ₁₅	F: GGT GAA CTC CCA TAG GGG TA R: CCA ATG CTA CTT GCA GAA CC	6-FAM	DQ307431
WGA 204	(AG) ₁₅	F: GGG TCT CGC CTT CTT TTC TT R: CAC AGA GAG AAG CAC GGG TA	6-FAM	DQ307432
WGA 221	(CT) ₈	F: CGA CTG CGA AGC CTT TGT AT R: TGG GCA TCA CAC CTA CGT TA	6-FAM	DQ307428
WGA 256	(CT) ₁₉	F: TGA AGA CAA CAA AAC TGC GC R: CCG GCA TTG TTT CTG AAA AT	NED	DQ307435

^a F = forward primer; R = reverse primer

^b Forward primers were modified at the 5' end with a fluorescent label: HEX (green), 6-FAM (blue), or NED (yellow)

* sequence published previously for other species (WGA 004 in Woeste et al. 2002 and in Dangl et al. 2005; WGA 033 in Woeste et al. 2002; WGA 082 in Woeste et al. 2002 and in Victory et al. 2006; and WGA 090 in Victory et al. 2006)

Table 2 Allele size range (size) and number observed (N_a) for each locus and overall allelic richness (A) for each species

Species (sample size)	WGA 004	WGA 033	WGA 082	WGA 090	WGA 142	WGA 147	WGA 148	WGA 204	WGA 221	WGA 256
<i>Juglans regia</i> L. (8)	Size 228–240 N_a 5	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	^a 130–218 ^a 20	190–195 5	253–271 7	172–178 4	230–232 2	227–253 7
<i>Juglans hindsii</i> (Jepson) R.E. Smith (8)	Size 245–257 N_a 4	^a 202–258 ^a 7	170–190 8	126–150 2	^a 178–191 ^a 7	171–197 3	251–267 3	176–186 2	228–234 2	207–237 4
<i>Juglans major</i> (Torrey) Heller (8)	Size 241–275 N_a 6	249–271 8	164–198 12	124–136 4	^a 163–186 ^a 11	188–196 5	239–245 4	172–188 4	204–238 6	207–217 4
<i>Juglans microcarpa</i> Berlandier (3)	Size 236–246 N_a 4	^a 236–268 ^a 9	166–194 5	^a 134–165 ^a 8	^a 165–207 ^a 12	195–213 5	233–247 5	166–178 4	224–236 2	223–231 4
<i>Juglans nigra</i> L. (8)	Size 234–246 N_a 6	^a 236–284 ^a 12	156–188 7	^a 134–172 ^a 10	^a 163–181 ^a 8	187–209 5	243–247 3	168–184 4	216–238 4	215–237 8
<i>Juglans ailanthifolia</i> Carr. (8)	Size 233–249 N_a 6	222–230 4	NA NA	157–185 10	128–158 6	183–209 8	230–264 8	175–191 7	220–230 4	207–243 10
<i>Juglans mandshurica</i> Maxim. (8)	Size 239–255 N_a 6	222–230 4	NA NA	133–173 7	128–158 6	181–209 9	230–260 7	169–197 10	220–228 5	215–237 10
<i>Juglans cathayensis</i> Dode (2)	Size 239–241 N_a 2	222–228 2	NA NA	159–175 3	142–150 3	183–213 3	238–266 4	179–187 3	224–234 3	235–237 2
<i>Juglans cinerea</i> L. (422)	Size 225–273 N_a 20	228–268 6	150–182 16	126–144 7	161–199 10	173–211 14	232–282 15	168–200 16	221–247 11	205–241 15
<i>Juglans x bixbyi</i> Rehd. (3)	Size 245–275 N_a 2	228 1	162 1	132–165 2	134–158 2	197 1	260–264 2	166–184 2	226 1	219–227 2
<i>Juglans x intermedia</i> Carr. (7)	Size 228–244 N_a 6	236–286 9	154–186 5	142–172 6	^a 130–205 ^a 18	189–221 5	235–261 7	168–178 5	224–236 4	215–249 6
<i>Juglans x quadrangulata</i> (Carr.) Rehd. (1)	Size 228–243 N_a 2	228 1	156 1	144 1	187–194 2	185 1	242 1	174–182 2	230 1	223 1
<i>Juglans x paradox</i> Burbank (7)	Size 228–251 N_a 4	202–258 6	156–194 6	126–152 3	^a 130–205 ^a 10	181–197 3	243–267 5	174–188 4	228–236 4	219–249 6
<i>Juglans x royal</i> Burbank (1)	Size 238–245 N_a 2	^a 236–260 ^a 3	156 1	^a 146–172 ^a 3	165–169 2	193–209 2	243–247 2	168 1	236–238 2	221–247 2
<i>Carya illinoensis</i> (Wangenh.) K. Koch (8)	Size 235–239 N_a 8	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	169–185 6	^a 217–230 ^a 3	220–244 10

Species are arranged by Section (i.e., Dioscaryon (*J. regia*), Rhysocaryon (*J. hindsii*, *J. major*, *J. microcarpa*, *J. nigra*), Cardiocaryon (*J. ailanthifolia*, *J. mandshurica*, and *J. cathayensis*) and Trachycaryon (*J. cinerea*) followed by hybrids and *Carya illinoensis*. NA = no amplification

^a Multiple peaks (>2) were observed for each individual, which may be explained by a gene duplication event and subsequent independent mutations in the repeat motif (but not the primer sequences) leading to amplification of multiple alleles per individual

Table 3 Mean sample size over all loci (*n*), mean number of alleles per locus (*A*), expected heterozygosity (*H_E*), observed heterozygosity (*H_O*), and an estimate of the fixation index (*f*). Species are arranged as in Table 2

Species	<i>n</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>H_E</i>	<i>H_O</i>	<i>f</i>
<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	6.57	4.43	0.610	0.401	0.359
<i>Juglans hindsii</i> (Jepson) R.E. Smith	8.00	3.50	0.572	0.563	0.018
<i>Juglans major</i> (Torrey) Heller	8.00	5.89	0.730	0.764	-0.050
<i>Juglans microcarpa</i> Berlandier	3.00	4.14	0.838	0.857	-0.029
<i>Juglans nigra</i> L.	8.00	5.29	0.731	0.750	-0.028
<i>Juglans ailanthifolia</i> Carr.	7.89	7.00	0.821	0.784	0.048
<i>Juglans mandschurica</i> Maxim.	7.10	6.60	0.823	0.677	0.214
<i>Juglans cathayensis</i> Dode	2.00	2.78	0.778	0.778	0.000
<i>Juglans cinerea</i> L.	415.00	13.00	0.723	0.670	0.073
<i>Juglans x bixbyi</i> Rehd.	3.00	1.60	0.353	0.567	-0.889
<i>Juglans x intermedia</i> Carr.	6.78	5.89	0.815	0.619	0.253
<i>Juglans x quadrangulata</i> (Carr.) Rehd.	1.00	1.30	0.300	0.300	-
<i>Juglans x paradox</i> Burbank	7.00	4.67	0.745	0.667	0.113
<i>Juglans x royal</i> Burbank	1.00	1.75	0.750	0.750	-
<i>Carya illinoensis</i> (Wangenh.) K. Koch	8.00	8.00	0.875	0.875	0.000

The usefulness of these microsatellite loci across species is reflected in the number of alleles per locus (Table 2). Both *J. regia* and *J. mandschurica* showed elevated fixation levels compared to other groups (Table 3). In the case of *J. regia*, this may reflect a domestication bottleneck. The hybrids *J. x intermedia* and *J. x paradox* are complex; they are intersectional hybrids that may contain the genomes of more than two species, and they may be the result of backcrosses or intercrosses (Potter et al. 2002). As a consequence, these taxa may contain genomic incompatibilities that limit recombination and affect inbreeding. Analysis using principle

components and plotting of the first two principle component scores, which explained 41% of the variance, showed a clear clustering of the section *Cardiocaryon* alleles and a discrete position for the alleles of *J. major* and *J. regia* (Fig. 1). *J. cinerea* alleles were located much nearer to *J. nigra* and other members of section *Rhysocaryon*, with which butternut is sometimes lumped (Fig. 1). The taxa could all be significantly separated from one another using canonical discriminant analysis, with the exception of *J. mandschurica* and *J. ailanthifolia* (data not shown).




Fig. 1 Scatterplot of individual species/allele units on first two axes of PCA, which account for 41% of the total variance

The interspecific butternut hybrid *J. x bixbyi* is vigorous, difficult to distinguish from butternut, produces large numbers of fruit and may be more resistant to butternut canker. Cross-species amplification of these loci may prove useful in distinguishing butternut from hybrids. For example, there was a 13 base pair difference in allele size ranges for WGA 090 between *J. ailanthifolia* and *J. cinerea* (the two parent species of *J. x bixbyi*). Indeed, all *J. x bixbyi* individuals examined in this study contained an allele of the *J. cinerea* size and an allele of the *J. ailanthifolia* size, as expected. However, before a distinct range of allele sizes can be confirmed for a species, additional individuals must be genotyped. Results of PCA and CDA presented here should be considered heuristic and not an indication of phylogeny since allelic states were considered random deviations from a grand mean and not according to any biological model such as stepwise mutation, and the PCR products were not sequenced to verify the relationships among the length variants. The role of homoplasy in determining identity of state is likely in some cases.

Acknowledgments The authors were assisted by Brian Beheler, Anthony Davis, Bo Li, Tim McCleary, Rob Morrissey, Tom Pohl, Jeremy Scherf, and Kim Tarter. Butternut collection permits were provided by Lillian Scoggins of Mammoth Cave National Park, David Taylor of Daniel Boone National Forest, and Dale Weigel of Hoosier National Forest. The use of trade names is for the information and convenience of the reader and does not imply official endorsement or approval by the United States Department of Agriculture or the Forest Service of any product to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

Literature Cited

- Dangl GS, Woeste K, Aradhya MK, Koehmstedt A, Simon C, Potter D, Leslie CA, McGranahan G (2005) Characterization of 14 microsatellite markers for genetic analysis and cultivar identification of walnut. *J Amer Soc Hort Sci* 130:348–354
- Hale ML, Borland AM, Wolf K (2005) High degree of conservation of nuclear microsatellite loci in the genus *Clusia*. *Genome* 48:946–950
- Lewis PO, Zaykin D (2001) Genetic data analysis: computer program for the analysis of Allelic Data (version 11). Free program distributed by the authors over the internet from: <http://lewis.eeb.uconn.edu/lewishome/software.html>
- Morin R, Beaulieu J, Deslauriers M, Daoust G, Bousquet J (2000) Low genetic diversity at allozyme loci in *Juglans cinerea*. *Can J Bot* 78:1238–1243
- Nielsen C, Cherry M, Boysen B, Hopkin A, McLaughlin J, Beardmore T (2003) COSEWIC assessment and status report on the butternut (*Juglans cinerea*) in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada, Ottawa
- Potter D, Gao F, Baggett S, McKenna JR, McGranahan GH (2002) Defining the sources of Paradox: DNA sequence markers for North American walnut (*Juglans L.*) species and hybrids. *Sci Hortic* 94:157–170
- Renlund DW (1971) Forest Pest Conditions in Wisconsin: Annual report 1971. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
- Schultz J (2003) Conservation assessment for butternut or white walnut (*Juglans cinerea L.*). USDA Forest Service, Eastern Region
- Victory ER, Glaubitz JC, Rhodes OE, Woeste KE (2006) Genetic homogeneity in *Juglans nigra* (Juglandaceae) at nuclear microsatellites. *Am J Bot* 93:118–126
- Woeste K, Burns R, Rhodes O, Michler C (2002) Thirty polymorphic nuclear microsatellite loci from black walnut. *J Heredity* 93: 58–60